Review Article

Decoding Disease Mechanisms via Metabolic Modeling: Computational Approaches for Precision Medicine

Mohd Asif Siddiqui[1], Ravindra Kumar Jain[2], Sangeeta Dayal[3]

1. Associate Professor.
2. Professor.
3. Professor & Head

Department of Biotechnology,
Keral Verma Subharti College of Science,
Swami Vivekanand Subharti University

,

Abstract

Metabolism, essential for cellular function and homeostasis, is increasingly recognized in the etiology of various diseases. Biological system modeling has emerged as a promising approach to study complex metabolic regulatory networks involved in disease. By integrating biological knowledge with mathematical methods, these models simulate metabolic processes to reveal insights into disease development and progression. Recent studies highlight the potential of computational models to deepen understanding of metabolic reprogramming and aid targeted therapy design. Incorporating metabolic transport and mechanical elements can further enhance these models' effectiveness in identifying therapeutic targets. This article explores how computational metabolism models uncover disease mechanisms and their growing role in advancing precision medicine and treatments.

Keywords: Metabolism, Computational modeling, Disease pathology, Precision therapies, Metabolic networks, Therapeutic targets

Address for correspondence: Mohd Asif Siddiqui , Associate Professor, Keral Verma Subharti College of Science, Swami Vivekanand Subharti University, NH-58, Subhartipuram, Meerut, UP (250005), INDIA

Mail: asifsiddiqui82@gmail.com **Contact:** +*91*-9410606339

Introduction

Metabolism refers to the totality of chemical reactions occurring within a living organism, enabling the conversion of food into usable energy for cellular processes. It comprises two interdependent pathways: catabolism, the breakdown of complex molecules to release energy, and anabolism, the synthesis of complex compounds from simpler ones, requiring energy input [1]. The equilibrium between these processes ensures the body maintains homeostasis, supporting energy production, growth, and repair [2]. Efficient metabolism depends on optimal internal conditions, particularly temperature, as enzymatic reactions are highly sensitive to thermal fluctuations. Notably, energy needed for anabolic reactions often arises from coupling with energy-releasing catabolic reactions, resulting in high-energy molecules like ATP [3]. Metabolic activity extends beyond individual cells, influencing and being influenced by broader physiological systems. For example, in cancer biology, the tumor microenvironment can reprogram cellular metabolism to resist therapy [4]. As such, a deep understanding of metabolic mechanisms—from basic energy exchange to disease-related adaptations—offers valuable insights for advancing medical treatments and promoting health.

Computational Modeling in Biological Systems: Unlocking Life's Complexities Computational modeling has become a pivotal tool in biological research, enabling molecular-level insights and supporting the transition from descriptive to predictive science [5]. These models elucidate complex biological mechanisms, guide experimental strategies, and are instrumental in studying disease progression and evaluating therapies, including agent-based models for simulating infection dynamics and vaccine planning [6]. A key application is metabolic modeling, which deciphers cellular biochemical processes using mathematical constructs such as stoichiometric equations, matrices, and kinetic models [7, 8]. Techniques like flux balance analysis apply conservation principles to simulate realistic cellular behavior, while dynamic flux models capture temporal metabolic shifts. The integration of these models with high-throughput data and machine learning enhances the analysis of complex biological systems, offering deeper insights into immune responses, metabolic regulation, and therapeutic development.

Metabolic Dysregulation and Multi-Omics Integration in Disease Mechanisms

Metabolism, the cornerstone of cellular energy conversion and biosynthesis, plays a pivotal role in maintaining physiological balance. Disruptions in metabolic homeostasis are closely associated with diseases such as diabetes, obesity, and cancer [9, 10]. Lipid metabolism, in particular, is a critical contributor; its dysregulation not only supports tumor proliferation through enhanced lipid synthesis but also underlies

many metabolic disorders. Factors such as sedentary lifestyles and poor nutrition have further exacerbated these imbalances globally [11]. Advancements in lipidomics offer novel insights into lipid dysfunction in type 2 diabetes, aiding in early diagnosis and the development of targeted therapies. Additionally, metabolism interacts intricately with genetic regulation, inflammatory signaling, and cellular stress responses—including ER stress and immune pathways—making it a central node in chronic disease development [12, 13]. To elucidate these complexities, the integration of multi-omics data—including genomic, transcriptomic, proteomic, and metabolomic layers—into metabolic models has emerged as a transformative approach. This strategy enables a systems-level understanding of disease pathogenesis and phenotype variability, especially in rare diseases where data limitations pose challenges. Leveraging machine learning for pattern recognition in such high-dimensional datasets, integromics facilitates the identification of novel biomarkers, disease pathways, and therapeutic targets. Dynamic simulations using omics-enriched metabolic models link molecular alterations to metabolic outcomes, making them indispensable tools in precision medicine and targeted intervention strategies

Tools and Software for Building Metabolic Models

Metabolic modeling relies on computational tools that analyze biochemical pathways using databases such as KEGG, MetaCyc, and BIGG. These tools utilize various network representations—substrate graphs, bipartite graphs, hypergraphs, and stoichiometric (S) matrices—to map metabolic reactions. To optimize pathway identification, techniques like atom mapping, structure similarity scoring, and cofactor removal are employed to prune non-essential connections, improving computational efficiency [18]. Search algorithms such as depth-first search (DFS), breadth-first search (BFS), Monte Carlo simulations, branch-and-bound, and Mixed-Integer Linear Programming (MILP) guide pathway discovery based on criteria like atom conservation, thermodynamics, and metabolite connectivity. For example, **ReTrace** uses bipartite graphs and ranks pathways by atom conservation and length, while PathComp applies DFS on substrate graphs [19].

utilizes KEGG data and Eppstein's k-shortest path algorithm for atom-level pathway analysis [14]. Other tools like RouteSearch and NeAT combine weighted graph representations with branch-and-bound and Takahashi-Matsuyama algorithms to rank paths by metabolite frequency and connectivity. DESHARKY, using Monte Carlo methods, incorporates phylogenetic data to evaluate metabolic burden [15]. Stoichiometry-based tools such as **optStoic,** PathTracer**,** and CFP rely on S-matrix and MILP formulations to optimize metabolic flux or yield. Retrosynthesis tools like Simpheny**,** GEM-Path, and BNICE focus on synthesis feasibility using thermodynamics, enzyme availability, and product yield. Metabolic search tools help identify desirable biochemical transformations for pathway design by mining metabolites and reactions [16]. These tools draw from diverse biochemical databases—BIGG, KEGG, MetaCyc, and BR—each differing in reaction coverage, resolution, and accuracy. However, such databases may contain inconsistencies like stoichiometric errors, missing chemical structures, and redundant entries, often requiring manual curation. Reconciliation tools such as MNXref**,** BKM-react**,** and RxnFinder improve database integrity using compound identifiers and InChI strings. Services like **UniChem** and the Chemical Translation Service enable ID conversion across databases. Organism-specific databases (e.g., EcoCyc**,** AraCyc**,** HumanCyc**)** provide curated metabolic data for native pathway modeling, while broader tools like **optStoic** and XTMS integrate KEGG or MetaCyc data for heterologous pathway design [17].

Conclusion and Future Scope

Metabolic modeling has become a vital tool for understanding disease mechanisms by simulating cellular metabolic changes. In cancer, models reveal metabolic reprogramming like the Warburg effect, where cells favor glycolysis despite oxygen presence to support rapid growth. Similarly, in metabolic disorders such as non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and metabolic syndrome, disrupted glucose and lipid metabolism drive chronic inflammation and systemic dysfunction [20]. These models help identify metabolic vulnerabilities, suggest therapies, and discover early diagnostic biomarkers, advancing personalized medicine. However, challenges persist due to the complexity of metabolic networks, their interactions with regulatory pathways, genetic variability, and environmental influences like diet and stress. Simplifying assumptions in models may overlook critical biological details, affecting prediction accuracy.

Future improvements will come from integrating multi-omics data, advanced algorithms, and real-time biological information. Overcoming issues related to genetic and environmental diversity will enhance model utility. With progress in data science, machine learning, and systems biology, metabolic modeling is set to play a central role in precision medicine by enabling predictive insights, novel target identification, and tailored treatments across diseases

Name of Database	Function	Error Handling	Organism-Specific	Coverage	Login Required
BIGG	Biochemical reactions and molecules	Not specified	No	Extensive	No
KEGG	Biochemical reactions and interactions	Requires manual curation	No	Comprehensive	No
MetaCyc	Metabolic pathways and enzymes	Requires manual curation	No	Broad	No
MNXref (MetaNetX)	Reconciliation of metabolite information	Compound synonyms, InChI structures	No	High	No
EcoCyc	E. coli-specific pathway data	Not specified	Yes	Limited	No
AraCyc	*Arabidopsis thaliana* pathway data	Not specified	Yes	Limited	No
HumanCyc	Human metabolic pathways	Not specified	Yes	Limited	No
Chemical Translation Service	Metabolite ID conversion across databases	Not specified	No	Wide	No
UniChem	Standardizes metabolite IDs across databases	Not specified	No	Extensive	No

Table 1: Comprehensive Metabolic and Biochemical Databases

Table 2: List of Metabolic Pathway Analysis Tools

Name of Tool	Datasets	Network Representation	Search Algorithms	Ranking Criteria	Multi-Path Analysis	Optimal Path Selection	Login Required
ReTrace	KEGG	Bipartite graph	Heuristic search	Atom conservation, pathway length	No	Yes	No
PathComp	Substrate graph	DFS	Pathway length	No	Yes	No	
Pathway Hunter Tool	Substrate graph	BFS and higher-order logic	Structure similarity, pathway length	No	Yes	No	
MetaRoute	KEGG	Weighted reaction graph	Eppstein's k-shortest paths	Atom conservation, connectivity	Yes	Yes	No
RouteSearch	Weighted graphs	Branch-and-bound, Takahashi-Matsuyama k-shortest paths	Connectivity, frequency	No	Yes	No	
NeAT	Weighted graphs	Branch-and-bound	Pathway connectivity	Yes	No	No	
DESHARKY	Phylogenetic data	Monte Carlo approach	Metabolic burden	Yes	No	No	
optStoic	Stoichiometry matrix (S matrix)	MILP	Flux, metabolic yield	Yes	Yes	No	
PathTracer	S matrix	MILP	Metabolic yield	No	Yes	No	
CFP	S matrix	MILP	Metabolic yield	No	Yes	No	
Simpheny	Retro synthon enumeration	Molecular signature mapping	Thermodynamics, product yield, enzyme presence	Yes	No	No	
GEM-Path	Retrosynthesis	Molecular signature mapping	Pathway feasibility	No	Yes	No	
BNICE	Retrosynthesis	Molecular signature mapping	Thermodynamics, enzyme presence	Yes	Yes	No	

References

1. Elbeshbishy, E. (2014, January 1). METABOLIC PATHWAYS | Release of Energy (Anaerobic). Elsevier BV, 588-601. https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-384730-0.00198-1.
2. Shukla, Y., & Shivhare, S. (2020, January 1). A Mathematical Approach to Unsteady Temperature Regulation Of Human Body Due To Arterial Blood Temperature. Elsevier BV, 29, 587-594. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2020.07.318.
3. Munsky, B., Tuzman, K T., Fey, D., Dobrzyński, M., Kholodenko, B N., Olson, S., Huang, J., Fox, Z., Singh, A., Grima, R., Bertolusso, R., Kimmel, M., Voliotis, M., Thomas, P., Bowsher, C G., Sokolowski, T R., Wolde, P R T., Šulc, P., Doye, J P K., Tsimring, L S. (2018, August 21). Quantitative Biology: Theory, Computational Methods, and Models. The MIT Press. https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=3294268.
4. Zaal, E A., & Berkers, C R. (2018, November 2). The Influence of Metabolism on Drug Response in Cancer. Frontiers Media, 8. https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2018.00500.
5. Marchiq, I., & Pouysségur, J. (2015, June 23). Hypoxia, cancer metabolism and the therapeutic benefit of targeting lactate/H+ symporters. Springer Science+Business Media, 94(2), 155-171. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00109-015-1307-x.
6. Valero-Cuevas, F J., Hoffmann, H., Kurse, M U., Kutch, J J., & Theodorou, E A. (2009, January 1). Computational Models for Neuromuscular Function. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, 2, 110-135. https://doi.org/10.1109/rbme.2009.2034981.
7. Kim, J W., Krausch, N., Aizpuru, J., Barz, T., Lucia, S., Neubauer, P., & Bournazou, M N C. (2023, January 31). Model predictive control and moving horizon estimation for adaptive optimal bolus feeding in high-throughput cultivation of E. coli. Elsevier BV, 172, 108158-108158. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compchemeng.2023.108158.
8. Tyo, K E J., Kocharin, K., & Nielsen, J. (2010, March 12). Toward design-based engineering of industrial microbes. Elsevier BV, 13(3), 255-262. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2010.02.001.
9. Liang, K., & Dai, J. (2022, December 16). Progress of potential drugs targeted in lipid metabolism research. Frontiers Media, 13. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2022.1067652.
10. Danzi, F., Pacchiana, R., Mafficini, A., Scupoli, M T., Scarpa, A., Donadelli, M., & Fiore, A. (2023, March 22). To metabolomics and beyond: a technological portfolio to investigate cancer metabolism. Springer Nature, 8(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-023-01380-0.
11. O'Sullivan, A., Henrick, B M., Dixon, B., Barile, D., Zivkovic, A M., Smilowitz, J T., Lemay, D G., Martin, W., German, J B., & Schaefer, S E. (2017, July 5). 21st century toolkit for optimizing population health through precision nutrition. Taylor & Francis, 58(17), 3004-3015. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2017.1348335.
12. Huang, X., Lin, X., Zeng, J., Wang, L., Yin, P., Zhou, L., Hu, C., & Yao, W. (2017, October 24). A Computational Method of Defining Potential Biomarkers based on Differential Sub-Networks. Nature Portfolio, 7(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-14682-5.
13. Hummasti, S., & Hotamışlıgil, G S. (2010, September 2). Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress and Inflammation in Obesity and Diabetes. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 107(5), 579-591. https://doi.org/10.1161/circresaha.110.225698.
14. Hoyt, C T., Domingo-Fernández, D., Aldisi, R., Xu, L., Kolpeja, K., Spalek, S., Wollert, E., Bachman, J A., Gyori, B M., Greene, P., & Hofmann-Apitius, M. (2019, January 1). Re-curation and rational enrichment of knowledge graphs in Biological Expression Language. University of Oxford, 2019. https://doi.org/10.1093/database/baz068.
15. Karpinets, T V., Park, B H., & Uberbacher, E C. (2012, May 24). Analyzing large biological datasets with association networks. Oxford University Press, 40(17), e131-e131. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks403.
16. Liu, S., Guo, T., Ji, X., & Sun, Z. (2003, July 1). Bioinformatical study on the proteomics and evolution of SARS-CoV. Springer Nature, 48(13), 1277-1287. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf03184163.
17. Tyagi, A., Wu, S., & Watabe, K. (2022, May 3). Metabolism in the progression and metastasis of brain tumors. Elsevier BV, 539, 215713-215713. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canlet.2022.215713.
18. Inskeep, W P., Rusch, D B., Jay, Z J., Herrgård, M J., Kozubal, M A., Richardson, T H., Macur, R E., Hamamura, N., Jennings, R D., Fouke, B W., Reysenbach, A., Roberto, F F., Young, M., Schwartz, A., Boyd, E S., Badger, J H., Mathur, E J., Ortmann, A C., Bateson, M M., . . . Frazier, M. (2010, March 18). Metagenomes from High-Temperature Chemotrophic Systems Reveal Geochemical Controls on Microbial Community Structure and Function. Public Library of Science, 5(3), e9773-e9773. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0009773.
19. Paiva, A R C., & Pilloni, G. (2022, January 1). Inferring Microbial Biomass Yield and Cell Weight using Probabilistic Macrochemical Modeling. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, 1-1. https://doi.org/10.1109/tcbb.2021.3139290.
20. DelNero, P., Hopkins, B D., Cantley, L C., & Fischbach, C. (2018, May 23). Cancer metabolism gets physical. American Association for the Advancement of Science, 10(442).
21. https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aaq1011.

How to cite this article : A S Mohd, K J Ravindra, D Sangeeta. Decoding Disease Mechanisms via Metabolic Modeling: Computational Approaches for Precision Medicine, Apr. 2025; Vol. 7: Issue 2, 6 - 9